IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.63284-M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION:30.11.2006

Romesh Sharma & Anr. .....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab .....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present:- Shri BD Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Shri N.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

****

The Petitioners, apprehending their arrest in a non-bailable offence in case FIR No. 75 dated 4.10.2006 under Sections 406/498-A IPC, registered at Police Station Maksoodan, District Jalandhar, have filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for anticipatory bail.

I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the contents of the FIR.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that in the aforesaid FIR, the petitioners, who are old father-in-law and mother-in-law of the complainant, have been falsely implicated. He further contends that the marriage took place in the year 1991 and the allegations of harassment and demand of dowry levelled against the petitioner are totally false. Counsel also contends that the husband of the complainant has already been arrested and released on regular bail.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that in view of the order dated 16.10.2006, the petitioners have joined the investigation. This fact has not been disputed by the State Counsel.

In view of the above, the interim bail, granted vide order dated 16.10.2006 is made absolute subject to the same terms and conditions.

Disposed of accordingly.

November 30, 2006 **(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)**
pooja **JUDGE**